arXiv:1411.2062v1 [math.LO] 8 Nov 2014

A NOTE ON REGULAR DE MORGAN SEMI-HEYTING ALGEBRAS

HANAMANTAGOUDA P. SANKAPPANAVAR

Abstract. The purpose of this note is two-fold. Firstly, we prove that the variety $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$ of regular De Morgan semi-Heyting algebras of level 1 satisfies Stone identity and present (equational) axiomatizations for several subvarieties of $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$. Secondly, we give a concrete description of the lattice of subvarieties of the variety $\mathbf{RDQDStSH_1}$ of regular dually quasi-De Morgan Stone semi-Heyting algebras that contains $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$. Furthermore, we prove that every subvariety of $\mathbf{RDQDStSH_1}$, and hence of $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$, has Amalgamation Property. The note concludes with some open problems for further investigation.

1. Introduction

Semi-Heyting algebras were introduced by us in [12] as an abstraction of Heyting algebras. They share several important properties with Heyting algebras, such as distributivity, pseudocomplementedness, and so on. On the other hand, interestingly, there are also semi-Heyting algebras, which, in some sense, are "quite opposite" to Heyting algebras. For example, the identity $0 \to 1 \approx 0$, as well as the commutative law $x \to y \approx y \to x$, hold in some semi-Heyting algebras. The subvariety of commutative semi-Heyting algebras was defined in [12] and is further investigated in [13].

Quasi-De Morgan algebras were defined in [11] as a common abstraction of De Morgan algebras and distributive p-algebras. In [14], expanding semi-Heyting algebras by adding a dual quasi-De Morgan operation, we introduced the variety $\mathbf{DQDSH}$ of dually quasi-De Morgan semi-Heyting algebras as a common generalization of De Morgan Heyting algebras (see [10] and [5]) and dually pseudocomplemented

Date: November 11, 2014.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary* : $03G25, 06D20, 06D15$; *Secondary* : $08B26, 08B15$.

Key words and phrases. regular De Morgan semi-Heyting algebra of level 1, lattice of subvarieties, amalgamation property, discriminator variety, simple, directly indecomposable, subdirectly irreducible, equational base.

Heyting algebras (see [8]) so that we could settle an old conjecture of ours.

The concept of regularity has played an important role in the theory of pseudocomplemented De Morgan algebras (see [9]). Recently, in [15] and [16], we inroduced and examined the concept of regularity in the context of **DQDSH** and gave an explicit description of (twenty five) simple algebras in the (sub)variety $\mathbf{DQDStSH_1}$ of regular dually quasi-De Morgan Stone semi-Heyting algebras of level 1. The work in [15] and [16] led us to conjecture that the variety $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$ of regular De Morgan algebras satisfies Stone identity.

The purpose of this note is two-fold. Firstly, we prove that the variety $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$ of regular De Morgan semi-Heyting algebras of level 1 satisfies Stone identity, thus settlieng the above mentioned conjecture affirmatively. As applications of this result and the main theorem of [15], we present (equational) axiomatizations for several subvarieties of $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$. Secondly, we give a concrete description of the lattice of subvarieties of the variety $\mathbf{RDQDStSH_1}$ of regular dually quasi-De Morgan Stone semi-Heyting algebras, of which $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$ is a subvariety. Furthermore, we prove that every subvariety of $\mathbf{RDQDStSH_1}$, and hence of $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$, has Amalgamation Property. The note concludes with some open problems for further investigation.

2. Dually Quasi-De Morgan Semi-Heyting Algebras

The following definition is taken from [12].

An algebra $\mathbf{L} = \langle L, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, 0, 1\rangle$ is a *semi-Heyting algebra* if $\langle L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1\rangle$ is a bounded lattice and $\mathbf{L}$ satisfies:

(SH1) $x \wedge (x \rightarrow y) \approx x \wedge y$
(SH2) $x \wedge (y \rightarrow z) \approx x \wedge ((x \wedge y) \rightarrow (x \wedge z))$
(SH3) $x \rightarrow x \approx 1$.

Let $\mathbf{L}$ be a semi-Heyting algebra and, for $x \in \mathbf{L}$, let $x^* := x \rightarrow 0$. $\mathbf{L}$ is a *Heyting algebra* if $\mathbf{L}$ satisfies:

(SH4) $(x \wedge y) \rightarrow y \approx 1$.

$\mathbf{L}$ is a *commutative semi-Heyting algebra* if $\mathbf{L}$ satisfies:

(Co) $x \rightarrow y \approx y \rightarrow x$.

$\mathbf{L}$ is a *Boolean semi-Heyting algebra* if $\mathbf{L}$ satisfies:

(Bo) $x \vee x^* \approx 1$.

$\mathbf{L}$ is a *Stone semi-Heyting algebra* if $\mathbf{L}$ satisfies:

(St) $x^* \vee x^{**} \approx 1$.

Semi-Heyting algebras are distributive and pseudocomplemented, with a^* as the pseudocomplement of an element a. We will use these and other properties (see [12]) of semi-Heyting algebras, frequently without explicit mention, throughout this paper.

The following definition is taken from [14].

DEFINITION 2.1. *An algebra* $\mathbf{L} = \langle L, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, ', 0, 1\rangle$ *is a semi-Heyting algebra with a dual quasi-De Morgan operation or dually quasi-De Morgan semi-Heyting algebra* (**DQDSH**-algebra, for short) if $\langle L, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, 0, 1\rangle$ is a semi-Heyting algebra, and $\mathbf{L}$ satisfies:

(a) $0' \approx 1$ and $1' \approx 0$
(b) $(x \wedge y)' \approx x' \vee y'$
(c) $(x \vee y)'' \approx x'' \vee y''$
(d) $x'' \leq x$.

Let $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbf{DQDSH}$. Then $\mathbf{L}$ is a *dually Quasi-De Morgan Stone semi-Heyting algebra* (**DQDStSH**-algebra) if $\mathbf{L}$ satisfies (St). $\mathbf{L}$ is a *De Morgan semi-Heyting algebra* or *symmetric semi-Heyting algebra* (**DMSH**-algebra) if $\mathbf{L}$ satisfies:

(DM) $x'' \approx x$.

$\mathbf{L}$ is a *dually pseudocomplemented semi-Heyting algebra* (**DPCSH**-algebra if $\mathbf{L}$ satisfies:

(PC) $x \vee x' \approx 1$.

The varieties of **DQDSH**-algebras, **DQDStSH**-algebras, **DMSH**-algebras and **DPCSH**-algebras are denoted, respectively, by **DQDSH**, **DQDStSH**, **DMSH** and **DPCSH**. Furthermore, **DMcmSH** denotes the subvariety of **DMSH** defined by the commutative identity (Co), and **DQDBSH** denotes the one defined by (Bo).

If the underlying semi-Heyting algebra of a **DQDSH**-algebra is a Heyting algebra we denote the algebra by **DQDH**-algebra, and the corresponding variety is denoted by **DQDH**.

In the sequel, $a'^{*'}$ will be denoted by a^+, for $a \in \mathbf{L} \in \mathbf{DQDSH}$. The following lemma will often be used without explicit reference to it. Most of the items in this lemma were proved in [14], and the others are left to the reader.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let* $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbf{DQDSH}$ *and let* $x, y, z \in L$. *Then*

(i) $1'^* = 1$
(ii) $x \leq y$ *implies* $x' \geq y'$
(iii) $(x \wedge y)'^* = x'^* \wedge y'^*$
(iv) $x''' = x'$
(v) $(x \vee y)' = (x'' \vee y'')'$

(vi) $(x \vee y)' = (x'' \vee y)'$
(vii) $x \leq (x \vee y) \to x$
(viii) $x \wedge [(x \vee y) \to z] = x \wedge z$.

Next, we describe some examples of **DQDSH**-algebras by expanding the semi-Heyting algebras given in Figure 1. These will play a crucial role in the rest of the note.

2 :

$\to$	0	1
0	1	1
1	0	1

$\bar{\mathbf{2}}$:

$\to$	0	1
0	1	0
1	0	1

$\mathbf{L}_1$:

$\to$	0	a	1
0	1	1	1
a	0	1	1
1	0	a	1

$\mathbf{L}_2$:

$\to$	0	a	1
0	1	a	1
a	0	1	1
1	0	a	1

$\mathbf{L}_3$:

$\to$	0	a	1
0	1	1	1
a	0	1	a
1	0	a	1

$\mathbf{L}_4$:

$\to$	0	a	1
0	1	a	1
a	0	1	a
1	0	a	1

$\mathbf{L}_5$:

$\to$	0	a	1
0	1	a	a
a	0	1	1
1	0	a	1

$\mathbf{L}_6$:

$\to$	0	a	1
0	1	1	a
a	0	1	1
1	0	a	1

$\mathbf{L}_7$:

$\to$	0	a	1
0	1	a	a
a	0	1	a
1	0	a	1

$\mathbf{L}_8$:

$\to$	0	a	1
0	1	1	a
a	0	1	a
1	0	a	1

$\mathbf{L}_9$:

$\to$	0	a	1
0	1	0	0
a	0	1	1
1	0	a	1

$\mathbf{L}_{10}$:

$\to$	0	a	1
0	1	0	0
a	0	1	a
1	0	a	1

$\mathbf{D_1}$:

$\to$	0	1	a	b
0	1	0	b	a
1	0	1	a	b
a	b	a	1	0
b	a	b	0	1

$\mathbf{D_2}$:

$\to$	0	1	a	b
0	1	1	1	1
1	0	1	a	b
a	b	1	1	b
b	a	1	a	1

$\mathbf{D_3}$:

$\to$	0	1	a	b
0	1	a	1	a
1	0	1	a	b
a	b	a	1	0
b	a	1	a	1

Figure 1

Let $\mathbf{2^e}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{2}}^{\mathbf{e}}$ be the expansions of the semi-Heyting algebras $\mathbf{2}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{2}}$ (shown in Figure 1) by adding the unary operation $'$ such that $0' = 1$, $1' = 0$.

Let $\mathbf{L}_i^{dp}$, $i = 1, \ldots, 10$, denote the expansion of the semi-Heyting algebra $\mathbf{L}_i$ (shown in Figure 1) by adding the unary operation $'$ such that $0' = 1$, $1' = 0$, and $a' = 1$.

Let $\mathbf{L}_i^{dm}$, $i = 1, \ldots, 10$, denote the expansion of $\mathbf{L}_i$ (in Figure 1) by adding the unary operation $'$ such that $0' = 1$, $1' = 0$, and $a' = a$.

We Let $\mathbf{C_{10}^{dp}} := \{\mathbf{L}_i^{dp} : i = 1, \ldots, 10\}$ and $\mathbf{C_{10}^{dm}} := \{\mathbf{L}_i^{dm} : i = 1, \ldots, 10\}$. We also let $\mathbf{C_{20}} := \mathbf{C_{10}^{dm}} \cup \mathbf{C_{10}^{dp}}$.

Each of the three 4-element algebras $\mathbf{D_1}$, $\mathbf{D_2}$ and $\mathbf{D_3}$ has its lattice reduct as the Boolean lattice with the universe $\{0, a, b, 1\}$, b being the complement of a, has the operation $\to$ as defined in Figure 1, and has the unary operation $'$ defined as follows: $a' = a$, $b' = b$, $0' = 1$, $1' = 0$. For the variety $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{D_1}, \mathbf{D_2}, \mathbf{D_3})$ generated by $\{\mathbf{D_1}, \mathbf{D_2}, \mathbf{D_3}\}$, it was shown in [14] that $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{D_1}, \mathbf{D_2}, \mathbf{D_3}) = \mathbf{DQDBSH}$.

The following is a special case of Definition 5.5 in [14]. Let $x'^{*'*} := x^{2(\prime *)}$. Note that $x^{2(\prime *)} \leq x$ in a **DMSH**-algebra.

DEFINITION 2.3. *The subvariety* $\mathbf{DMSH_1}$ *of level 1 of* **DMSH** *is defined by the identity:* $x \wedge x'^{*} \wedge x^{2(\prime *)} \approx x \wedge x'^{*}$, *or equivalently, by the identity:*

(L1) $(x \wedge x'^{*})'^{*} \approx x \wedge x'^{*}$.

It follows from [14] that the variety $\mathbf{DMSH_1}$, is a discriminator variety. We note here that the algebras described above in Figure 1 are actually in $\mathbf{DMSH_1}$.

3. Regular De Morgan Semi-Heyting algebras of level 1

Recall that $a^+ := a'^{*'}$ in $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbf{DMSH_1}$.

DEFINITION 3.1. *Let* $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbf{DMSH_1}$. *Then* $\mathbf{L}$ *is regular if* $\mathbf{L}$ *satisfies the following identity:*

(R) $x \wedge x^+ \leq y \vee y^*$.

The variety of regular $\mathbf{DMSH_1}$*-algebras will be denoted by* $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$.

In the rest of this section, $\mathbf{L}$ denotes an $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$-algebra and $x, y \in L$. The following lemmas lead us to prove that $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$ satisfies (St).

LEMMA 3.2. $(x \vee x^{*'})^* = x' \wedge x^*$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} x' \wedge x^* &= x' \wedge x''^* \\ &= (x' \wedge x''^*)'^* \quad \text{by (L1)} \\ &= (x'' \vee x''^{*'})^* \\ &= (x \vee x^{*'})^*, \quad \text{since } x'' = x. \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 3.3. $x \vee x^* \vee x^{*'} = 1$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} x \vee x^* \vee x^{*'} &= (x^{*'} \wedge x' \wedge x^*)' \quad \text{by (DM)} \\ &= [x^{*'} \wedge (x \vee x^{*'})^*]' \quad \text{by Lemma 3.2} \\ &= (x^{*'} \wedge 0)' \quad \text{by Lemma 2.2(viii)} \\ &= 0' \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 3.4. *We have*

$$x \wedge (x^+ \vee y \vee y^*) = x \wedge (y \vee y^*).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} x \wedge (y \vee y^*) &= x \wedge [(x \wedge x^+) \vee (y \vee y^*)] \quad \text{by (R)} \\ &= (x \wedge x^+) \vee [x \wedge (y \vee y^*)] \\ &= x \wedge [x^+ \vee y \vee y^*]. \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 3.5. *Let $x \neq 1$. Then $x \leq x'$.*

Proof. Since $x \neq 1$, we have $x \wedge x'^* = 0$ by (L1). So,

$$\begin{aligned} x \wedge x' &= (x \wedge x') \vee (x \wedge x'^*) \\ &= x \wedge (x' \vee x'^*) \\ &= x \wedge (x^+ \vee x' \vee x'^*) \quad \text{by Lemma 3.4} \\ &= x \wedge 1 \quad \text{by Lemma 3.3} \end{aligned}$$

So, $x \leq x'$. □

LEMMA 3.6. *Let $x^* \neq 0$. Then $x \vee x^* = 1$.*

Proof. Since $x^* \neq 0$, we have $x^{*\prime} \neq 1$, so $x^{*\prime} \leq x^*$ by Lemma 3.5 and (DM), implying $x \vee x^* = 1$ by Lemma 3.3. □

THEOREM 3.7. *Let $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbf{RDMSH_1}$. Then $\mathbf{L} \models x^* \vee x^{**} \approx 1$.*

Proof. Let $a \in L$. If $a^* = 0$, Then the theorem is trivially true. So, we can assume that $a^* \neq 0$. Then $a \vee a^* = 1$, in view of the preceding lemma. The conclusion is now immediate. □

Recall from [14] that the subvariety $\mathbf{DMSH_2}$ of level 2 of **DMSH** is defined by the identity: $x \wedge x'^* \wedge x^{2(\prime *)} \approx x \wedge x'^* \wedge x^{2(\prime *)} \wedge x^{3(\prime *)}$, or equivalently, by the identity:

(L2) $(x \wedge x'^*)^{2(\prime *)} \approx (x \wedge x'^*)^{(\prime *)}$.

REMARK 3.8. *The above theorem fails in $\mathbf{RDMSH_2}$, as the following example shows:*



Figure 2

4. Applications

Let $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{K})$ denote the variety generated by the class $\mathbf{K}$ of algebras.

The following corollary is immediate from Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.4(a) of [16], and hence is an improvement on Corollary 3.4(a) of [16].

COROLLARY 4.1. *We have*

(a) $\mathbf{RDMSH_1} = \mathbf{RDMStSH_1} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{C_{10}^{dm}}) \vee \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{D_1}, \mathbf{D_2}, \mathbf{D_3})$

(b) $\mathbf{RDMH_1} = \mathbf{RDMStH_1} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_1^{dm}}) \vee \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{D_2})$

(c) $\mathbf{RDMcmSH1} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_{10}^{dm}}, \mathbf{D_1}) = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_{10}^{dm}}) \vee \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{D_1})$.

Let $L \in \mathbf{DMSH_1}$. We say $\mathbf{L}$ is pseudocommutative if $\mathbf{L} \models (x \to y)^* = (y \to x)^*$.

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let* $\mathbf{V}$ *be a subvariety of* $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$. *Then* $\mathbf{V}$ *is pseudocommutative iff* $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_9^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_{10}^{dm}}, \mathbf{D_1})$.

Proof. It suffices, in view of (a) of the preceding corollary, to verify that $\mathbf{L_9^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_{10}^{dm}}$, and $\mathbf{D_1}$ satisfy the pseudocommutative law, while the rest of the simples in $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$ do not. □

The proofs of the following corollaries are similar.

COROLLARY 4.3. *The variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_9^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_{10}^{dm}}, \mathbf{D_1})$ *is also defined, modulo* **RDMSH1**, *by*

$$x^* \to y^* \approx y^* \to x^*.$$

COROLLARY 4.4. *The variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_1^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_2^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_3^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_4^{dm}}, \mathbf{D_2}, \mathbf{D_3})$ *is defined, modulo* **RDMSH1**, *by*

$(0 \to 1)^+ \to (0 \to 1)^{*\prime *} \approx 0 \to 1$.

It was proved in [14] that $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{D_1}, \mathbf{D_2}, \mathbf{D_3}) = \mathbf{DQDBSH}$. Here are some more bases for $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{D_1}, \mathbf{D_2}, \mathbf{D_3})$.

COROLLARY 4.5. *Each of the following identities is a base for the variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{D_1}, \mathbf{D_2}, \mathbf{D_3})$ *modulo* **RDMSH1***:*

(1) $x \to y \approx y^* \to x^*$ (Law of contraposition)
(2) $x \vee (y \to z) \approx (x \vee y) \to (x \vee z)$
(3) $[\{x \vee (x \to y^*)\} \to (x \to y^*)] \vee (x \vee y^*) = 1$.

COROLLARY 4.6. *The variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_1^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_2^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_5^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_6^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_9^{dm}}, \mathbf{D_1}, \mathbf{D_2}, \mathbf{D_3})$ *is defined, modulo* **RDMSH1***, by*

$x \to y^* \approx y \to x^*$.

COROLLARY 4.7. *The variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_7^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_8^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_9^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_{10}^{dm}}, \mathbf{D_1}, \mathbf{D_2}, \mathbf{D_3})$ *is defined, modulo* **RDMSH1***, by*

$x \vee (x \to y) \approx x \vee [(x \to y) \to 1]$.

COROLLARY 4.8. *The variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_7^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_8^{dm}}, \mathbf{D_2})$ *is defined, modulo* **RDMSH1***, by*

(1) $x \vee (x \to y) \approx x \vee [(x \to y) \to 1]$
(2) $(0 \to 1)^{**} \approx 1$.

COROLLARY 4.9. *The variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{2^e}, \mathbf{L_7^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_8^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_9^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_{10}^{dm}})$ *is defined, modulo* **RDMSH1***, by*

(1) $x \vee (x \to y) \approx x \vee [(x \to y) \to 1]$
(2) $x^{*\prime} \approx x^{**}$.

COROLLARY 4.10. *The variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{2^e}, \mathbf{L_9^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_{10}^{dm}})$ *is defined, modulo* **RDMSH1***, by*

(1) $x \vee (x \to y) \approx x \vee [(x \to y) \to 1]$
(2) $x^{*\prime} \approx x^{**}$
(3) $(0 \to 1) \vee (0 \to 1)^* \approx 1$.

COROLLARY 4.11. *The variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_9^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_{10}^{dm}})$ *is defined, modulo* **RDMSH1***, by*

(1) $x \vee (x \to y) \approx x \vee [(x \to y) \to 1]$
(2) $x^{*\prime} \approx x^{**}$
(3) $(0 \to 1)^* \approx 1$.

COROLLARY 4.12. *The variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_1^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_2^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_3^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_4^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_5^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_6^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_7^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_8^{dm}})$ *is defined, modulo* **RDMSH1***, by*

(1) $x^{*\prime} \approx x^{**}$
(2) $(0 \to 1)^{**} \approx 1$.

COROLLARY 4.13. *The variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_1^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_2^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_3^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_4^{dm}}, \mathbf{D_2})$ *is defined, modulo* **RDMSH1**, *by*

(1) $(0 \to 1) \vee (0 \to 1)^* \approx 1$
(2) $(0 \to 1)^{**} \approx 1$.

COROLLARY 4.14. *The variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_1^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_3^{dm}}, \mathbf{D_1}, \mathbf{D_2}, \mathbf{D_3})$ *is defined, modulo* **RDMSH1**, *by*

(1) $x \vee (y \to x) \approx (x \vee y) \to x$
(2) $(0 \to 1) \vee (0 \to 1)^* \approx 1$.

COROLLARY 4.15. *The variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_1^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_3^{dm}}, \mathbf{D_2})$ *is defined, modulo* **RDMSH1**, *by*

(1) $x \vee (y \to x) \approx (x \vee y) \to x$
(2) $(0 \to 1)^{**} = 1$
(3) $(0 \to 1) \vee (0 \to 1)^* \approx 1$.

COROLLARY 4.16. *The variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_1^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_2^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_8^{dm}}, \mathbf{D_1}, \mathbf{D_2}, \mathbf{D_3})$ *is defined, modulo* **RDMSH1**, *by*

$$y \vee (y \to (x \vee y)) \approx (0 \to x) \vee (x \to y).$$

COROLLARY 4.17. *The variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_8^{dm}}, \mathbf{D_1}, \mathbf{D_2}, \mathbf{D_3})$ *is defined, modulo* **RDMSH1**, *by*

$$x \vee [y \to (0 \to (y \to x))] \approx x \vee y \vee (y \to x).$$

$\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{D_2})$ was axiomatized in [14]. Here are some more bases for it.

COROLLARY 4.18. *Each of the following identities is an equational base for* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{D_2})$, *mod* $\mathbf{RDMH_1}$*:*

(1) $y \to [0 \to (y \to x)] \approx y \vee (y \to x)$

(2) $x \vee (y \to z) \approx (x \vee y) \to (x \vee z)$

(3) $x \vee [y \to (y \to x)^*] \approx x \vee y \vee (y \to x)$

(4) $[\{x \vee (x \to y^*)\} \to (x \to y^*)] \vee x \vee y^* \approx 1$.

$\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{D_1})$ was axiomatized in [14]. Here are more bases for it.

COROLLARY 4.19. *Each of the following identities is an equational base for* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{D_1})$, *mod* $\mathbf{RDMcmSH_1}$*:*

(1) $y \vee (y \to (x \vee y)) \approx (0 \to x) \vee (x \to y)$

(2) $x \vee [y \to (y \to x)^*] \approx x \vee y \vee (y \to x)$

(3) $[\{x \vee (x \to y^*)\} \to (x \to y^*)] \vee x \vee y^* \approx 1$

(4) $x \vee (y \to z) \approx (x \vee y) \to (x \vee z)$.

COROLLARY 4.20. *The variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_1^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_2^{dm}}, \mathbf{L_3^{dm}}, \mathbf{D_1}, \mathbf{D_2}, \mathbf{D_3}))$ *is defined, mod* $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$*, by*

$x \to (y \to z) = y \to (x \to z)$.

COROLLARY 4.21. *The variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{C_{10}^{dm}})$ *is defined, mod* $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$*, by*

$x \wedge x' \leq y \vee y'$ (Kleene identity).

COROLLARY 4.22. *The variety* $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L_{10}^{dm}})$ *is defined, mod* $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$*, by*

(1) $x \wedge x' \leq y \vee y'$ (Kleene identity)
(2) $x \to y \approx y \to x$.

5. Lattice of subvarieties of $\mathbf{RDQDStSH_1}$

We now turn to describe the lattice of subvarieties of $\mathbf{RDQDStSH_1}$ which contains $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$ in view of Theorem 3.7. For this purpose we need the following theorem which is proved in [15].

THEOREM 5.1. *Let* $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbf{RDQDStSH1}$*. Then TFAE:*

(1) *L is simple*

(2) *L is subdirectly irreducible*

(3) $\mathbf{L} \in \{\mathbf{2^e}, \bar{\mathbf{2}}^{\mathbf{e}}\} \cup \mathbf{C_{20}} \cup \{\mathbf{D_1}, \mathbf{D_2}, \mathbf{D_3}\}$.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the lattice of subvarieties of $\mathbf{RDQDStSH_1}$. $\mathbf{T}$ denotes the trivial variety, and, for n a positive integer, $\mathbf{B_n}$ denotes the n-atom Boolean lattice. We also let $\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{B}$ denote the lattice obtained by adding a new least element 0 to the Boolean lattice $\mathbf{B}$.

THEOREM 5.2. $\mathcal{L} \cong (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{B_9}) \times (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{B_5}) \times \mathbf{B_9}$.

Proof. Let $S_1 := \{\mathbf{L_i^{dm}} : \mathbf{i = 1, 2, 3, 4}\} \cup \{\mathbf{L_i^{dp}} : \mathbf{i = 1, 2, 3, 4}\} \cup \{\mathbf{D_2}\}$, $S_2 := \{\mathbf{L_i^{dm}} : \mathbf{i = 9, 10}\} \cup \{\mathbf{L_i^{dp}} : \mathbf{i = 9, 10}\} \cup \{\mathbf{D_1}\}$, and $S_3 := \{\mathbf{L_i^{dm}} : \mathbf{i = 5, 6, 7, 8}\} \cup \{\mathbf{L_i^{dp}} : \mathbf{i = 5, 6, 7, 8}\} \cup \{\mathbf{D_3}\}$. Observe that each of the simples in S_1 contains $\mathbf{2^e}$. Let us first look at the interval $[\mathbf{V(2^e)}, \mathbf{V(S_1)}]$. Since each algebra in S_1 is an atom in this interval, we can conclude that the interval is a 9-atom Boolean lattice; thus the interval $[\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{V(S_1)}]$ is isomorhic to $\mathbf{1 + B_9}$. Similarly, since each of the simples in S_2 contains $\mathbf{\bar{2}^e}$, it is clear that the interval $[\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{V(S_2)}]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{1 + B_5}$. Likewise, since each of the simples in S_3 has only one subalgebra, namely the trivial algebra, the interval $[\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{S_3}]$ is isomprphic to $\mathbf{B_9}$. Observe that the the intersection of the subvarieties $\mathbf{V(S_1)}$, $\mathbf{V(S_2)}$ and $\mathbf{V(S_3)}$ is $\mathbf{T}$ and their join is $\mathbf{RDQDSH_1}$ in $\mathcal{L}$. It, therefore, follows that $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{1 + B_9}) \times (\mathbf{1 + B_5}) \times \mathbf{B_9}$. □

COROLLARY 5.3. *The lattice of subvarieties of* $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$ *is isomorphic to* $(\mathbf{1 + B_5}) \times (\mathbf{1 + B_3}) \times \mathbf{B_5}$.

COROLLARY 5.4. *The lattice of subvarieties of* $\mathbf{RDPCSH_1}$ *is isomorphic to* $(\mathbf{1 + B_4}) \times (\mathbf{1 + B_2}) \times \mathbf{B_4}$.

Similar formulas can be obtained for other subvarieties of $\mathbf{RDQDSH_1}$.

6. Amalgamation

We now examine the Amalgamation Property for subvarities of the variety $\mathbf{RDQDStSH_1}$. For this purpose we need the following theorem from [3].

THEOREM 6.1. *Let K be an equational class of algebras satisfying the Congruence Extension Property, and let every subalgebra of each subdirectly irreducible algebra in K be subdirectly irreducible. Then K satisfies the Amalgamation Property if and only if whenever A, B, C are subdirectly irreducible algebras in K with A a common subalgebra of B and C, the amalgam (A; B, C) can be amalgamated in K.*

THEOREM 6.2. *Every subvariety of* $\mathbf{RDQDStSH_1}$ *has the Amalgamation Property.*

Proof. It follows from [14] that $\mathbf{RDQDStSH_1}$ has CEP. Also, it follows from Theorem 5.1 that every subalgebra of each subdirectly irreducible (= simple) algebra in $\mathbf{RDQDStSH_1}$ is subdirectly irreducible. Therefore, in each subvariety $\mathbf{V}$ of $\mathbf{RDQDStSH_1}$, we need only consider

an amalgam $(A : B, C)$, where A, B, C are simple in $\mathbf{RDQDStSH_1}$ and A a subalgebra of B and C. Then it is not hard to see, in view of the description of simples in $\mathbf{RDQDStSH_1}$ given in Theorem 5.1, that $(A : B, C)$ can be amalgamated in $\mathbf{V}$. □

7. Concluding Remarks and Open Problems

We know from [14] that every simple algebra in $\mathbf{RDQDH_1}$ is quasiprimal.

Of all the 25 simple algebras in $\mathbf{RDQDStSH_1}$, $\mathbf{2^e}$, $\bar{\mathbf{2}}^{\mathbf{e}}$, and $\mathbf{L_i}, i = 5, 6, 7, 8$, and $\mathbf{D_3}$ are primal algebras and the rest are semiprimal algebras. We now mention some open problems for further research.

Problem 1: For each variety $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L})$, where $\mathbf{L}$ is a simple algebra in $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$ (except $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{2^e})$), find a Propositional Calculus $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{V})$ such that the equivalent algebraic semantics for $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{V})$ is $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{L})$ (with 1 as the designated truth value, using $\rightarrow$ and $'$ as implication and negation respectively). (For the variety $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{2^e})$, the answer is, of course, well known: Classical Propositional Calculus.)

We think such (many-valued) logics will be of interest in computer science and in switcing circuit theory.

Problem 2: Describe simples in the variety of pseudocommutative $\mathbf{RDQDStSH_1}$-algebras.

Problem 3: Find equational bases for the remaining subvarieties of $\mathbf{RDMSH_1}$.

Problem 4: Let $\mathbf{RDmsStSH_1}$ denote the subvariety of $\mathbf{DQDStSH_1}$ defined by: $(x \vee y)' \approx x' \wedge y'$. Describe simples in $\mathbf{RDmsStSH_1}$.

References

[1] R. Balbes and PH. Dwinger, **Distributive lattices**, Univ. of Missouri Press, Columbia, 1974.

[2] S. Burris and H.P. Sankappanavar, **A course in universal algebra**, Springer–Verlag, New York, 1981. The free, corrected version (2012) is available online as a PDF file at math.uwaterloo.ca/∼snburris.

[3] G. Grätzer and H. Lakser, *The structure of pseudocomplemented distributive lattices II: Congruence extension and amalgamation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 156 (1971), 343-358.
[4] B. Jónsson, *Algebras whose congruence lattices are distributive*, Math. Scand. 21 (1967), 110–121.
[5] A. Monteiro, *Sur les algebres de Heyting symetriques*, Portugaliae Mathemaica 39 (1980), 1–237.
[6] W. McCune, *Prover9 and Mace 4, http://www.cs.unm.edu/mccune/prover9/*
[7] H. Rasiowa, **An algebraic approach to non-classical logics**, North–Holland Publ.Comp., Amsterdam, (1974).
[8] H.P. Sankappanavar, *Heyting algebras with dual pseudocomplementation*, Pacific J. Math. 117 (1985), 405–415.
[9] H.P. Sankappanavar, *Pseudocomplemented Okham and De Morgan algebras*, Zeitschr. f. math. Logik und Grundlagen d. Math. 32 (1986), 385–394.
[10] H.P. Sankappanavar, *Heyting algebras with a dual lattice endomorphism*, Zeitschr. f. math. Logik und Grundlagen d. Math. 33 (1987), 565–573.
[11] H.P. Sankappanavar, *Semi-De Morgan algebras*, J. Symbolic. Logic 52 (1987), 712–724.
[12] H.P. Sankappanavar, *Semi–Heyting algebras: An abstraction from Heyting algebras*, Actas del IX Congreso Dr. A. Monteiro (2007), 33-66.
[13] H.P. Sankappanavar, *Semi–Heyting algebras II*. In Preparation.
[14] H.P. Sankappanavar, *Expansions of Semi-Heyting algebras. I: Discriminator varieties*, Studia Logica 98 (1-2) (2011), 27-81.
[15] H.P. Sankappanavar, *Dually quasi-De Morgan Stone semi-Heyting algebras I. Regularity*, Categories and General Algebraic Structures with Applications, 2 (2014), 47-64.
[16] H.P. Sankappanavar, *Dually quasi-De Morgan Stone semi-Heyting algebras II. Regularity*, Categories and General Algebraic Structures with Applications, 2 (2014), 65-82.
[17] H.P. Sankappanavar, *Expansions of Semi-Heyting algebras. II*. In Preparation.
[18] J, Varlet, *A regular variety of type* $\langle 2, 2, 1, 1, 0, 0\rangle$, Algebra Univ. 2 (1972), 218-223.
[19] H. Werner, **Discriminator algebras**, Studien zur Algebra und ihre Anwendungen, Band 6, Academie–Verlag, Berlin, 1978.

Department of Mathematics
State University of New York
New Paltz, NY 12561

sankapph@newpaltz.edu